

ON THE UNITARY STRUCTURES OF VERTEX OPERATOR SUPERALGEBRAS

CHUNRUI AI AND XINGJUN LIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the notion of unitary vertex operator superalgebra is introduced. It is proved that the vertex operator superalgebras associated to the unitary highest weight representations for the Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra, Heisenberg superalgebras and to positive definite integral lattices are unitary vertex operator superalgebras. The unitary structures are then used to study the structures of vertex operator superalgebras, it is proved that any unitary vertex operator superalgebra is a direct sum of strong CFT type unitary simple vertex operator superalgebras. The classification of unitary vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weights less than or equal to 1 is also considered.

1. INTRODUCTION

Unitary structures of vertex operator algebras were introduced in the early days of vertex operator algebras, the unitary structures of the lattice vertex operator algebras and Moonshine vertex operator algebra were used to study the Monster group [B], [FLM]. Later, based on a symmetric contravariant bilinear form with respect to a Cartan involution in [B] for a vertex algebra constructed from an even lattice, the notion of invariant bilinear form was introduced and studied in [FHL], [L1].

Recently, it was found in [DLin] that the positive definite Hermitian forms of vertex operator algebras which are invariant with respect to anti-linear involutions of vertex operator algebras can be used to define unitary vertex operator algebras. And it was proved in [DLin] that the vertex operator algebras associated to the unitary highest weight representations for the Heisenberg algebras, Virasoro algebra and affine Kac-Moody algebras are unitary vertex operator algebras. Moreover, the unitary structures of these vertex operator algebras are induced from the unitary structures of the highest weight modules for the corresponding Lie algebras. The unitary structures of vertex operator algebras were later used to construct conformal nets from vertex operator algebras [CKLW].

In the first part of this paper, the notion of unitary vertex operator superalgebra is introduced, this is a generalization of the notion of unitary vertex operator algebra. It is then proved that the vertex operator superalgebras associated to the unitary highest

weight representations for the Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra, Heisenberg superalgebras and to positive definite integral lattices are unitary vertex operator superalgebras. Also, the unitary structures of the vertex operator superalgebras associated to the Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra, Heisenberg superalgebras are induced from the unitary structures of the highest weight modules for the corresponding Lie superalgebras. It is also expected that the unitary structures of vertex operator superalgebras can be used to construct superconformal nets (cf. [CKL]).

In the second part of this paper, the structures of unitary vertex operator superalgebras are studied. It is well-known that a finite dimensional associative algebra over the field of complex numbers is semisimple if and only if the trace form of the algebra is nondegenerate and that any semisimple associative algebra over the field of complex numbers is a direct sum of simple algebras. Since vertex operator algebras are analogues of associative algebras and unitary vertex operator superalgebras have positive definite invariant Hermitian forms, it is natural to expect that any unitary vertex operator superalgebra is a direct sum of unitary simple vertex operator superalgebras. In this paper, it is shown that any unitary vertex operator superalgebra is a direct sum of strong CFT type unitary simple vertex operator superalgebras. And it is found that the strong CFT type properties of vertex operator superalgebras, which have played important roles in the study of vertex operator superalgebras (see [Bu], [DM1], [DM2], [Hu]), are closely related to the unitary structures of vertex operator superalgebras.

As an application of the structure theorem of unitary vertex operator superalgebras, the classification of unitary vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weights less than or equal to 1 is considered. The key point is to classify the simple vertex operator superalgebras in these classes. The simple vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$ have been classified in [X], it was proved that any simple vertex operator superalgebra generated by the subspace with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$ is isomorphic to the vertex operator superalgebra associated to the highest weight representation for some Heisenberg superalgebra. So the key point is to classify unitary simple vertex operator algebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight 1. Simple vertex operator algebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight 1 have been studied in [DM1], [DM2], [M] under the condition that the vertex operator algebras are C_2 -cofinite or regular, and their results have played important roles in the classification of rational and C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebras. But their results depend on the condition that the vertex operator algebras are C_2 -cofinite or regular, and there are unitary vertex operator algebras which are neither C_2 -cofinite nor regular, so we still need to study the structures of unitary

simple vertex operator algebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight 1. In this paper, it is shown that each of these unitary vertex operator superalgebras is isomorphic to the tensor product of some vertex operator superalgebras associated to unitary highest weight representations for the affine Kac-Moody algebras and the Heisenberg algebras.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove some basic facts about unitary vertex operator superalgebras and then show that the vertex operator superalgebras associated to the unitary highest weight representations for the Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra, Heisenberg superalgebras and to positive definite integral lattices are unitary vertex operator superalgebras. In Section 3, the structures of unitary vertex operator superalgebras are studied. In Section 4, the classification of unitary vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weights less than or equal to 1 is considered.

2. UNITARY VERTEX OPERATOR SUPERALGEBRAS

2.1. Preliminaries. In this subsection, we shall recall from [DL], [FFR], [KW], [L2] and [X] some facts about vertex operator superalgebras. First, we recall some notations, let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be any $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space, the element in V_0 (resp. V_1) is called *even* (resp. *odd*). We then define $[v] = i$ for any $v \in V_i$ with $i = 0, 1$. A *vertex operator superalgebra* is a quadruple $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, \omega)$, where $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graded vector space, $\mathbf{1}$ is the *vacuum vector* of V , ω is the *conformal vector* of V , and Y is a linear map

$$\begin{aligned} V &\rightarrow (\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]], \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v_n z^{-n-1} \quad (v_n \in \text{End } V) \end{aligned}$$

satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) For any $u, v \in V$, $u_n v = 0$ for sufficiently large n ;
- (ii) $Y(\mathbf{1}, z) = \text{id}_V$;
- (iii) $Y(v, z)\mathbf{1} = v + \sum_{n \geq 2} v_{-n}\mathbf{1}z^{n-1}$, for any $v \in V$;
- (iv) The component operators of $Y(\omega, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n)z^{-n-2}$ satisfy the Virasoro algebra relation with *central charge* $c \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$[L(m), L(n)] = (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{1}{12}(m^3 - m)\delta_{m+n, 0}c,$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dz}Y(v, z) = Y(L(-1)v, z), \quad \text{for any } v \in V;$$

(v) V is $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ -graded such that $V = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_n$, $V_0 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} V_n$, $V_1 = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} V_n$, $L(0)|_{V_n} = n$, $\dim(V_n) < \infty$ and $V_n = 0$ for sufficiently small n ;

(vi) The *Jacobi identity* for $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -homogeneous $u, v \in V$ holds,

$$\begin{aligned} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y(u, z_1) Y(v, z_2) - (-1)^{[u][v]} z_0^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0} \right) Y(v, z_2) Y(u, z_1) \\ = z_2^{-1} \delta \left(\frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) Y(Y(u, z_0)v, z_2). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the definition of a vertex operator superalgebra and we will denote the vertex operator superalgebra briefly by V . An *anti-linear automorphism* ϕ of V is an anti-linear isomorphism (as anti-linear morphism) $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ such that $\phi(\mathbf{1}) = \mathbf{1}$, $\phi(\omega) = \omega$ and $\phi(u_n v) = \phi(u)_n \phi(v)$ for any $u, v \in V$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. An anti-linear automorphism ϕ of V is called an *anti-linear involution* if the order of ϕ is 2. We then define a *unitary* vertex operator superalgebra to be a pair (V, ϕ) , where V is a vertex operator superalgebra and $\phi : V \rightarrow V$ is an anti-linear involution of V , such that there exists a positive definite Hermitian form $(,) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on V and the following invariant property

$$(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)} a, z^{-1})u, v) = (u, Y(\phi(a), z)v),$$

holds for any $a, u, v \in V$.

Remark 2.1. Note that if V is a vertex operator algebra, the definition of unitary vertex operator superalgebra coincides with the definition of unitary vertex operator algebra in [DLin].

Similarly, we can define the unitary module for a unitary vertex operator superalgebra (cf. [DLin]). The following results are immediate consequences of the definitions of unitary modules and unitary vertex operator superalgebras.

Proposition 2.2. Let V be a unitary vertex operator superalgebra V . Then

- (1) For any homogenous vectors $u, v \in V$ such that $\text{wt}u \neq \text{wt}v$, we have $(u, v) = 0$.
- (2) Any unitary V -module M is completely reducible, that is, $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} W^i$, where W^i , $i \in I$, are irreducible submodules of M . Moreover, $(W^i, W^j)_M = 0$ for $i \neq j$, where $(,)_M$ is the positive definite Hermitian form on M .

Proof: We only prove the second result. Let M be a unitary V -module and $(,)_M$ be the positive definite Hermitian form on M . Consider the set S consisting of submodules of M such that each element $W \in S$ satisfies the property $W \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} W^i$, and $(W^i, W^j)_M = 0$ for $i \neq j$, where $W^i, i \in I$, are irreducible submodules of M . Note that there exists a maximal object W^0 in S . We now prove that $W^0 = M$. Otherwise, consider the

orthogonal complement $(W^0)^\perp$ of W^0 with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)_M$. It follows from the definition of unitary modules that $(W^0)^\perp$ is also a submodule of M . Let n_0 be a number such that $(W^0)^\perp_{n_0} \neq 0$ but $(W^0)^\perp_n = 0$ if $\operatorname{Re} n < \operatorname{Re} n_0$, where $(W^0)^\perp_n = \{w \in (W^0)^\perp \mid L(0)w = nw\}$. Then we know that $(W^0)^\perp_{n_0}$ is a module for the Zhu's algebra $A(V)$ of V (cf. [KWa]). Since $\dim(W^0)^\perp_{n_0} < \infty$, there exists an irreducible $A(V)$ -submodule Z of $(W^0)^\perp_{n_0}$, we then prove that the submodule $\langle Z \rangle$ of $(W^0)^\perp$ generated by Z is an irreducible module for V . Note that $\langle Z \rangle \cap (W^0)^\perp_{n_0} = Z$ and that any proper submodule of $\langle Z \rangle$ has zero intersection with Z , it follows immediately from the invariant property of $(\cdot, \cdot)_M$ that $\langle Z \rangle$ is irreducible. As a result, $W^0 \oplus \langle Z \rangle$ is an object in S , this is a contradiction. The proof is complete. $\square$

Recall that for a vertex operator superalgebra V and a V -module $M = \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} M_\lambda$, the *contragredient module* M' of M is defined to be (M', Y') , where $M' = \oplus_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} (M_\lambda)'$ is the restricted dual of M and Y' is the linear map defined by

$$\langle Y'(a, z)w', w \rangle = \langle w', Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}z^{-2L(0)}a, z^{-1})w \rangle,$$

for any $a \in V, w \in M, w' \in M'$ (cf. [FHL], [Y]). Recall also that a V -module M is called *self-dual* if M is isomorphic to M' , then we have

Proposition 2.3. *Let V be a unitary vertex operator superalgebra. Then V is self-dual.*

Proof: It is good enough to prove that V has a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form, that is, a nondegenerate bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that the following invariant property

$$\langle Y(u, z)v, w \rangle = \langle v, Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}z^{-2L(0)}u, z^{-1})w \rangle,$$

holds for any $u, v, w \in V$. For any $u, v \in V$, we define $\langle u, v \rangle = (u, \phi(v))$. It is clear that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a nondegenerate bilinear form. We then prove that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is invariant. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y(u, z)v, w \rangle &= (Y(u, z)v, \phi(w)) \\ &= (v, Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}z^{-2L(0)}\phi(u), z^{-1})\phi(w)) \\ &= (v, \phi(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}z^{-2L(0)}u, z^{-1})w)) \\ &= \langle v, Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}z^{-2L(0)}u, z^{-1})w \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

The following results concern constructing unitary vertex operator superalgebras from known unitary vertex operator superalgebras, the proofs of these results are similar to those of Propositions 2.6, 2.9 in [DLin].

Proposition 2.4. (1) Let (V, ϕ) be a unitary vertex operator superalgebra and U be a subalgebra of V such that the Virasoro element of U is the same as that of V and $\phi(U) = U$. Then $(U, \phi|_U)$ is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra.

(2) Let $(V^1, \phi_1), \dots, (V^p, \phi_p)$ be unitary vertex operator superalgebras. Then there exists an anti-linear involution ϕ of $V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^p$ such that $(V^1 \otimes \dots \otimes V^p, \phi)$ is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra.

We also need the following result which is useful to prove the unitarity of vertex operator superalgebra.

Proposition 2.5. Let V be a vertex operator superalgebra equipped with a positive definite Hermitian form $(,) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and ϕ be an anti-linear involution of V . Assume that V is generated by the subset $S \subset V$, i.e.,

$$V = \text{span}\{u_{n_1}^1 \cdots u_{n_k}^k \mathbf{1} | k \in \mathbb{N}, u^1, \dots, u^k \in S\}$$

and that the invariant property

$$(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)} a, z^{-1})u, v) = (u, Y(\phi(a), z)v)$$

holds for any $a \in S, u, v \in V$. Then (V, ϕ) is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra.

Proof: Note that for a vertex operator superalgebra V , we have the following identity which was essentially proved in Theorem 5.2.1 of [FHL],

$$\begin{aligned} & -(-1)^{[a][b]} z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 - z_1}{-z_0}\right) Y(e^{z_1 L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z_1^{-2L(0)} a, z_1^{-1}) \\ & \cdot Y(e^{z_2 L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z_2^{-2L(0)} b, z_2^{-1}) \\ & + z_0^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0}\right) Y(e^{z_2 L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z_2^{-2L(0)} b, z_2^{-1}) \\ & \cdot Y(e^{z_1 L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z_1^{-2L(0)} a, z_1^{-1}) \\ & = z_1^{-1} \delta\left(\frac{z_2 + z_0}{z_1}\right) Y(e^{z_2 L(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z_2^{-2L(0)} Y(a, z_0) b, z_2^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

for any $a, b \in V$. Then the proposition follows from the similar argument in the proof of Proposition 2.11 in [DLin]. $\square$

2.2. Unitary Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras. In this subsection, we shall show that the Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras associated to unitary highest weight modules for the Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra are unitary vertex

operator superalgebras. We first recall from [KWa], [L2] some facts about the Neveu-Schwarz vertex operator superalgebras. Let NS be the Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra

$$NS = \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}L(m) \oplus \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}G(n + \frac{1}{2}) \oplus \mathbb{C}C,$$

with the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [L(m), L(n)] &= (m - n)L(m + n) + \frac{m^3 - m}{12}\delta_{m+n,0}C, \\ [L(m), G(n + \frac{1}{2})] &= (\frac{m}{2} - n - \frac{1}{2})G(m + n + \frac{1}{2}), \\ [G(m + \frac{1}{2}), G(n + \frac{1}{2})]_+ &= 2L(m + n) + \frac{1}{3}m(m + 1)\delta_{m+n,0}C, \\ [L(m), C] &= 0, \quad [G(n + \frac{1}{2}), C] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} NS_{\pm} &= \oplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}L(\pm n) \oplus \oplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathbb{C}G(\pm m \mp \frac{1}{2}), \\ NS_0 &= \mathbb{C}L(0) \oplus \mathbb{C}C. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $NS_+ \oplus NS_0$ is a subalgebra of NS . Given any complex numbers c and h , we then consider the Verma module

$$M(c, h) = U(NS)/J,$$

where $U(\mathfrak{g})$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and J is the left ideal of $U(NS)$ generated by NS_+ , $L(0) - h$, $C - c$. It is well-known that the Verma module has a unique maximal proper submodule $J(c, h)$, we then let $L(c, h)$ be the irreducible NS -module $M(c, h)/J(c, h)$. It was proved in [KWa] that $L(c, 0)$ has a vertex operator superalgebra structure such that $\mathbf{1} = 1$ and $\omega = L(-2)1$.

We next recall from [KW] some facts about the unitary modules for the Neveu-Schwarz Lie superalgebra NS . Let M be a highest weight module for NS , M is called a *unitary* module for NS if there exists a positive definite Hermitian form $(,)$ on M such that

$$(L(n)u, v) = (u, L(-n)v), \quad (G(n + \frac{1}{2})u, v) = (u, G(-n - \frac{1}{2})v),$$

for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u, v \in M$ (cf. [KT], [KW]). It was proved in [GKO], [KW] that $L(c, h)$ is a unitary module for NS if $c \geq \frac{3}{2}$ and $h \geq 0$ or

$$\begin{aligned} c &= c_m = \frac{3}{2}(1 - \frac{8}{(m+2)(m+4)}), \\ h &= h_{r,s}^m = \frac{((m+4)r - (m+2)s)^2 - 4}{8(m+2)(m+4)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m, r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $1 \leq s \leq r \leq m+1$ and $r-s \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ if $r \neq 0$.

We now begin to construct unitary structures on the vertex operator superalgebras associated to unitary modules for NS . We begin with the definition of the anti-linear involution. Let $T(NS)$ be the tensor algebra of NS and $\Phi : T(NS) \rightarrow T(NS)$ be the anti-linear map of $T(NS)$ such that $\Phi(x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n) = x^1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x^n$ for any $x^1, \dots, x^n \in \{L(n), G(m + \frac{1}{2}) | n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It is clear that Φ is an anti-linear involution of $T(NS)$. Note that by the definition of NS , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(x^1 \otimes x^2 - (-1)^{[x^1][x^2]} x^2 \otimes x^1 - [x^1, x^2]) \\ &= \Phi(x^1) \otimes \Phi(x^2) - (-1)^{[x^1][x^2]} \Phi(x^2) \otimes \Phi(x^1) - \Phi([x^1, x^2]) \\ &= \Phi(x^1) \otimes \Phi(x^2) - (-1)^{[x^1][x^2]} \Phi(x^2) \otimes \Phi(x^1) - [\Phi(x^1), \Phi(x^2)], \end{aligned}$$

for any $x^1, x^2 \in NS$. Thus, Φ induces an anti-linear involution of $U(NS)$, which is still denoted by Φ . Furthermore, if $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\Phi(J) \subset J$. Hence, Φ induces an anti-linear involution of $M(c, 0)$, which is also denoted by Φ . Finally, note that $\Phi(J(c, 0)) \subset J(c, 0)$, we then have an anti-linear involution ϕ of $L(c, 0)$ induced from Φ . We are now in a position to prove that $L(c, 0)$ has a unitary vertex operator superalgebra structure.

Theorem 2.6. *Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ be a positive real number such that $c \geq \frac{3}{2}$ or $c = c_m$ for some positive integer m . Then $(L(c, 0), \phi)$ is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra.*

Proof: Note that if $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is a positive real number such that $c \geq \frac{3}{2}$ or $c = c_m$ for some positive integer m , $L(c, 0)$ is a unitary module for NS . Let $(,)$ be the positive definite Hermitian form on $L(c, 0)$. We next prove that $(L(c, 0), \phi)$ equipped with the positive definite Hermitian form $(,)$ is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra. Since $L(c, 0)$ is generated by $L(-2)1$ and $G(-\frac{3}{2})1$, by Proposition 2.5 it is good enough to prove that the invariant property

$$(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)} a, z^{-1})u, v) = (u, Y(\phi(a), z)v)$$

holds for $a = L(-2)1$ or $G(-\frac{3}{2})1$ and any $u, v \in L(c, 0)$. When $a = L(-2)1$, the invariant property has been proved in [DLin]. We then assume that $a = G(-\frac{3}{2})1$, by a direct

computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}z^{-2L(0)}G(-\frac{3}{2})1, z^{-1})u, v) &= z^{-3}(Y(G(-\frac{3}{2})1, z^{-1})u, v) \\
&= z^{-3} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{n+2} (G(n + \frac{1}{2})u, v) \\
&= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} z^{n-1} (u, G(-n - \frac{1}{2})v) \\
&= (u, Y(G(-\frac{3}{2})1, z)v) \\
&= (u, Y(\phi(G(-\frac{3}{2})1), z)v),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

2.3. Unitary vertex operator superalgebras associated to the Heisenberg superalgebras. In this subsection, we shall show that vertex operator superalgebras associated to the unitary highest weight representations for the Heisenberg superalgebras are unitary vertex operator superalgebras. First, we recall from [KWa], [L2], [T] and [X] some facts about highest weight modules for the Heisenberg superalgebras. Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a n -dimensional vector space with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$. Consider the infinite dimensional Lie superalgebra

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]t^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathbb{C}C,$$

with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -gradation $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\bar{0}} = \mathbb{C}C$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_{\bar{1}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and the communication relations:

$$[u(m), v(n)]_+ = \delta_{m+n,0} \langle u, v \rangle C, \quad [u(m), C] = 0,$$

for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{h}$, $m, n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, where $u(m) = u \otimes t^m$. Set $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_+ = t^{\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{C}[t] \otimes \mathfrak{h}$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_- = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}] \otimes \mathfrak{h}$, it is clear that $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_+$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_-$ are subalgebras of $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. For any complex number c , we then consider the Verma module

$$M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(c, 0) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})/J,$$

where J is the left ideal of $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})$ generated by $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_+$ and $C - c$. It is well-known that $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(c, 0)$ is a highest weight module for $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ and that $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(c, 0)$ is an irreducible module for $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ (cf. [L2]). We then let $u^1, \dots, u^n$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ and set $\mathbf{1} = 1$, $\omega = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n u^i(-\frac{3}{2})u^i(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}$, it is known that $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(c, 0)$ has a vertex operator superalgebra structure such that $\mathbf{1}$ and ω are the vacuum vector and conformal vector, respectively (cf. [KWa], [L2], [X]). Moreover, it was proved in [L2] that the vertex operator superalgebra $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(c, 0)$ is isomorphic to the vertex operator superalgebra $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ for any nonzero complex number c .

We now begin to construct a unitary structure on $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$. We first assume that $\mathfrak{h}$ is one-dimensional. Let α be a vector in $\mathfrak{h}$ such that $\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 1$, then $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}\alpha$. By the PBW Theorem, we know that $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ has a basis consisting of $\alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_k)\mathbf{1}$, $n_1 > \cdots > n_k \geq \frac{1}{2}$. It is known that there exists a positive definite Hermitian form $(,)$ on $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ such that $\alpha(-n_1) \cdots \alpha(-n_k)\mathbf{1}$, $n_1 > \cdots > n_k \geq \frac{1}{2}$ is an orthonormal basis and that

$$(\alpha(m)u, v) = (u, \alpha(-m)v)$$

for any $u, v \in M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ (cf. [KR]).

We next construct an anti-linear involution of $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$. Let $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})$ be the tensor algebra of $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. Define an anti-linear map $\Phi : T(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}) \rightarrow T(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})$ by $\Phi(\alpha(n_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha(n_k)) = (-1)^k \alpha(n_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha(n_k)$ and $\Phi(C) = C$. It is clear that Φ is an anti-linear involution of $T(\widehat{\mathfrak{h}})$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi(x^1 \otimes x^2 - (-1)^{[x^1][x^2]} x^2 \otimes x^1 - [x^1, x^2]) \\ &= \Phi(x^1) \otimes \Phi(x^2) - (-1)^{[x^1][x^2]} \Phi(x^2) \otimes \Phi(x^1) - \Phi([x^1, x^2]) \\ &= \Phi(x^1) \otimes \Phi(x^2) - (-1)^{[x^1][x^2]} \Phi(x^2) \otimes \Phi(x^1) - [\Phi(x^1), \Phi(x^2)], \end{aligned}$$

for any $x^1, x^2 \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. It follows that Φ induces an anti-linear involution ϕ of $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$.

We now in a position to provide a unitary vertex operator superalgebra structure on $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let $\mathfrak{h}$ be a n -dimensional vector space with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$. Then $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ has a unitary vertex operator superalgebra structure.*

Proof: Let $u^1, \dots, u^n$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathfrak{h}$ with respect to $\langle, \rangle$. Then we know that the vertex operator superalgebra $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ is isomorphic to the vertex operator superalgebra $M_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}u^1}}(1, 0) \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}u^n}}(1, 0)$. By Proposition 2.4, it is good enough to prove that $M_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}u^i}}(1, 0)$ has a unitary vertex operator superalgebra structure for each i . We now prove that $(M_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}\alpha}}(1, 0), \phi)$ is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra. Since $M_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}\alpha}}(1, 0)$ is generated by $\alpha(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}$, by Proposition 2.5 it is good enough to prove that the invariant property

$$(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)} a, z^{-1})u, v) = (u, Y(\phi(a), z)v)$$

holds for $a = \alpha(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}$ and any $u, v \in M_{\widehat{C\alpha}}(1, 0)$. By a direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Y(e^{zL(1)}z^{-2L(0)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}\alpha(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}, z^{-1})u, v) \\
&= -z^{-1}(Y(\alpha(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}, z^{-1})u, v) \\
&= -z^{-1}\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}(\alpha(m + \frac{1}{2})u, v)z^{m+1} \\
&= -z^{-1}\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}(u, \alpha(-m - \frac{1}{2})v)z^{m+1} \\
&= -\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}(u, \alpha(-(m+1) + \frac{1}{2})v)z^m \\
&= (u, Y(-\alpha(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}, z)v) \\
&= (u, Y(\phi(\alpha(-\frac{1}{2})\mathbf{1}), z)v),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. $\square$

2.4. Unitary lattice vertex operator superalgebras. In this subsection we shall prove that the lattice vertex operator superalgebras associated to positive definite integral lattices are unitary vertex operator superalgebras. First, we recall from [FLM], [K2] some facts about the lattice vertex operator superalgebras. Let L be a positive definite integral lattice and $(,)$ be the associated positive definite bilinear form. We then consider the central extension $\widehat{L}$ of L by the cyclic group $\langle \kappa \rangle$ of order 2:

$$1 \rightarrow \langle \kappa \rangle \rightarrow \widehat{L} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 1,$$

such that the commutator map $c(\alpha, \beta) = \kappa^{(\alpha, \beta) + (\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta)}$ for any $\alpha, \beta \in L$. Let $e : L \rightarrow \widehat{L}$ be a section such that $e_0 = 1$ and $\epsilon_0 : L \times L \rightarrow \langle \kappa \rangle$ be the corresponding 2-cocycle. Then $\epsilon_0(\alpha, \beta)\epsilon_0(\beta, \alpha) = \kappa^{(\alpha, \beta) + (\alpha, \alpha)(\beta, \beta)}$ and $e_\alpha e_\beta = \epsilon_0(\alpha, \beta)e_{\alpha+\beta}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in L$.

Next, we consider the induced $\widehat{L}$ -module:

$$\mathbb{C}\{L\} = \mathbb{C}[\widehat{L}] \otimes_{\langle \kappa \rangle} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}[L] \quad (\text{linearly}),$$

where $\mathbb{C}[L]$ denotes the group algebra of L and κ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ as multiplication by -1 . Then $\mathbb{C}[L]$ becomes an $\widehat{L}$ -module such that $e_\alpha \cdot e^\beta = \epsilon(\alpha, \beta)e^{\alpha+\beta}$ and $\kappa \cdot e^\beta = -e^\beta$, where $\epsilon(\alpha, \beta)$ is defined as $\nu \circ \epsilon_0$ and ν is the an isomorphism from $\langle \kappa \rangle$ to $\langle \pm 1 \rangle$ such that $\nu(\kappa) = -1$. We also define an action $h(0)$ on $\mathbb{C}[L]$ by $h(0) \cdot e^\alpha = (h, \alpha)e^\alpha$ for $h \in \mathfrak{h}, \alpha \in L$ and an action z^h on $\mathbb{C}[L]$ by $z^h \cdot e^\alpha = z^{(h, \alpha)}e^\alpha$.

We proceed to construct the vector space of the lattice vertex operator superalgebra. Set $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$, and consider the Heisenberg algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ with the

communication relations: For any $u, v \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [u(m), v(n)] &= m\langle u, v \rangle \delta_{m+n,0} K, \\ [K, x] &= 0, \text{ for any } x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $u(n) = u \otimes t^n$, $u \in \mathfrak{h}$. Let $M(1)$ be the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra associated to $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$. The vector space of the lattice vertex operator superalgebra is defined to be

$$V_L = M(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{L\} \cong M(1) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[L] \quad (\text{linearly}).$$

Then $\widehat{L}$, $h(n)$ ($n \neq 0$), $h(0)$ and $z^{h(0)}$ act naturally on V_L by acting on either $M(1)$ or $\mathbb{C}[L]$ as indicated above. It was proved in [FLM], [K2] that V_L has a vertex operator superalgebra structure such that

$$\begin{aligned} Y(h(-1)1, z) &= h(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} h(n) z^{-n-1} \quad (h \in \mathfrak{h}), \\ Y(e^\alpha, z) &= E^-(\alpha, z) E^+(\alpha, z) e_\alpha z^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E^-(\alpha, z) = \exp \left(\sum_{n < 0} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n} z^{-n} \right), \quad E^+(\alpha, z) = \exp \left(\sum_{n > 0} \frac{\alpha(n)}{n} z^{-n} \right).$$

We now begin to construct a unitary vertex operator superalgebra structure on V_L . First, we define a positive definite Hermitian form on V_L . Recall from [DLin] that there is a unique positive definite Hermitian form $(,)$ on $M(1)$ such that

$$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = 1, \quad (h(n)u, v) = (u, h(-n)v)$$

for any $h \in \mathfrak{h}, u, v \in M(1)$. Also, there is a positive definite Hermitian form $(,)$: $\mathbb{C}[L] \times \mathbb{C}[L] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{C}[L]$ determined by $(e^\alpha, e^\beta) = 0$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $(e^\alpha, e^\beta) = 1$ if $\alpha = \beta$ (see [FLM]). We then define a positive definite Hermitian form $(,)$ on V_L as follows: For any $u, v \in M(1)$ and $e^\alpha, e^\beta \in \mathbb{C}[L]$,

$$(u \otimes e^\alpha, v \otimes e^\beta) = (u, v)(e^\alpha, e^\beta).$$

Lemma 2.8. *Let $(,)$ be the positive definite Hermitian form on V_L defined above. Then we have: For any $\alpha \in L$ and $w_1, w_2 \in V_L$,*

$$(e_\alpha w_1, w_2) = (w_1, (-1)^{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha) + (\alpha, \alpha)^2}{2}} e_{-\alpha} w_2), \quad (z^\alpha w_1, w_2) = (w_1, z^\alpha w_2).$$

Proof: The second identity is obvious. The first identity follows immediately from the facts that $(e_\alpha w_1, e_\alpha w_2) = (w_1, w_2)$ for any $w_1, w_2 \in V_L$ and that $e_\alpha e_{-\alpha} = (-1)^{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha) + (\alpha, \alpha)^2}{2}}$ for any $\alpha \in L$ (see [K2]). $\square$

We next construct an anti-linear involution of V_L . Let $\phi : V_L \rightarrow V_L$ be an anti-linear map determined by:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi : V_L &\rightarrow V_L \\ \alpha_1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha_k(-n_k) \otimes e^\alpha &\mapsto (-1)^k \alpha_1(-n_1) \cdots \alpha_k(-n_k) \otimes e^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we have

$$\phi \alpha(n) \phi^{-1} = -\alpha(n), \quad \phi Y(e^\alpha, z) \phi^{-1} = Y(e^{-\alpha}, z),$$

for any $\alpha \in L$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By a similar argument as that of Lemma 4.1 in [DLin] we can show that ϕ is an anti-linear involution of V_L .

Theorem 2.9. *Let L be a positive definite integral lattice and ϕ be the anti-linear involution of V_L defined above. Then (V_L, ϕ) is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra.*

Proof: Since the lattice vertex operator superalgebra V_L is generated by

$$\{\alpha(-1) | \alpha \in L\} \cup \{e^\alpha | \alpha \in L\},$$

by Proposition 2.5 it is sufficient to prove the following identities

$$(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)} \alpha(-1) \cdot 1, z^{-1}) w_1, w_2) = (w_1, Y(\phi(\alpha(-1) \cdot 1), z) w_2), \quad (2.1)$$

$$(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)} e^\alpha, z^{-1}) w_1, w_2) = (w_1, Y(\phi(e^\alpha), z) w_2), \quad (2.2)$$

hold for any $w_1, w_2 \in V_L$.

Assume that $w_1 = u \otimes e^{\gamma_1}$, $w_2 = v \otimes e^{\gamma_2}$ for some $u, v \in M(1)$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in L$. By the definition of the Hermitian form, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)} \alpha(-1), z^{-1}) w_1, w_2) \\ &= -z^{-2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\alpha(n) w_1, w_2) z^{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} -(w_1, \alpha(-n) w_2) z^{n-1} \\ &= (w_1, Y(\phi(\alpha(-1)), z) w_2), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

To prove the identity (2.2), note that we only need to consider the case that $\alpha + \gamma_1 = \gamma_2$, by Lemma 2.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}z^{-2L(0)}e^\alpha, z^{-1})w_1, w_2) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha) + (\alpha, \alpha)^2}{2}} z^{-(\alpha, \alpha)} (Y(e^\alpha, z^{-1})u \otimes e^{\gamma_1}, v \otimes e^{\gamma_2}) \\
&= (-1)^{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha) + (\alpha, \alpha)^2}{2}} z^{-(\alpha, \alpha)} (E^-(\alpha, z^{-1})E^+(\alpha, z^{-1})e_\alpha(z^{-1})^\alpha u \otimes e^{\gamma_1}, v \otimes e^{\gamma_2}) \\
&= z^{-(\alpha, \alpha)} (u \otimes e^{\gamma_1}, E^-(\alpha, z)E^+(\alpha, z)(z^{-1})^\alpha e_{-\alpha}v \otimes e^{\gamma_2}) \\
&= z^{-(\alpha, \alpha)} (u \otimes e^{\gamma_1}, E^-(\alpha, z)E^+(\alpha, z)e_{-\alpha}(z^{-1})^\alpha (z^{-1})^{(\alpha, -\alpha)}v \otimes e^{\gamma_2}) \\
&= (u \otimes e^{\gamma_1}, E^-(\alpha, z)E^+(\alpha, z)e_{-\alpha}(z^{-1})^\alpha v \otimes e^{\gamma_2}) \\
&= (w_1, Y(e^{-\alpha}, z)w_2) \\
&= (w_1, Y(\phi(e^\alpha), z)w_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (V_L, ϕ) is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra. $\square$

3. STRUCTURES OF UNITARY VERTEX OPERATOR SUPERALGEBRAS

3.1. Unitary structures of the direct sums of unitary vertex operator superalgebras. In this subsection, we shall show that there exists a unitary vertex operator superalgebra structure on the direct sum of unitary vertex operator superalgebras. We first recall from [FHL], [LL] some facts about the direct sum of vertex operator superalgebras. Let $(V_1, \mathbf{1}_1, \omega_1, Y_1), \dots, (V_r, \mathbf{1}_r, \omega_r, Y_r)$ be vertex operator superalgebras of the same central charge. Consider the vector space $V = V_1 \oplus \dots \oplus V_r$ and define the linear map $Y(\cdot, z)$ from V to $(\text{End } V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ by

$$Y((v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}), z) = (Y_1(v^{(1)}, z), \dots, Y_r(v^{(r)}, z))$$

for $v^{(i)} \in V_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Set $\mathbf{1} = (\mathbf{1}_1, \dots, \mathbf{1}_r)$ and $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r)$. It was proved in [FHL], [LL] that $(V, \mathbf{1}, \omega, Y)$ is a vertex operator superalgebra, the so-called direct sum vertex operator superalgebra of $(V_1, \mathbf{1}_1, \omega_1, Y_1), \dots, (V_r, \mathbf{1}_r, \omega_r, Y_r)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(V_1, \mathbf{1}_1, \omega_1, Y_1), \dots, (V_r, \mathbf{1}_r, \omega_r, Y_r)$ be vertex operator superalgebras of the same central charge and V be the direct sum of V_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then V has a unitary vertex operator superalgebra if each V_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, has a unitary vertex operator superalgebra structure.*

Proof: Assume that $(V_1, \mathbf{1}_1, \omega_1, Y_1), \dots, (V_r, \mathbf{1}_r, \omega_r, Y_r)$ are unitary vertex operator superalgebras of the same central charge. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)_1, \dots, (\cdot, \cdot)_r$ be the Hermitian forms on $V_1, \dots, V_r$, respectively, and $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r$ be the anti-linear involutions of $V_1, \dots, V_r$, respectively. We define a Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$ and an anti-linear involution ϕ of V as

follows:

$$\begin{aligned} ((u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}), (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}))_V &= \sum_{i=1}^r (u^{(i)}, v^{(i)})_i, \\ \phi((u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)})) &= (\phi_1(u^{(1)}), \dots, \phi_r(u^{(r)})), \end{aligned}$$

for any $(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}), (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}) \in V$. It is clear that $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$ is a positive definite Hermitian form on V and that ϕ is an anti-linear involution of V .

Let $L(n), L_i(n)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, be the operators defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Y_V(\omega, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L(n) z^{-n-2}, \\ Y_i(\omega_i, z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} L_i(n) z^{-n-2}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. We then need to verify that the invariant property:

$$\begin{aligned} (Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)}(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}), z^{-1})(v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}), (w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}))_V \\ = ((v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}), Y(\phi((u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)})), z)(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}))_V, \end{aligned}$$

holds for any $(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}), (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}), (w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}) \in V$. By the definitions,

$$\begin{aligned} (Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2} z^{-2L(0)}(u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)}), z^{-1})(v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}), (w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}))_V \\ = (Y((e^{zL_1(1)}(-1)^{L_1(0)+2L_1(0)^2} z^{-2L_1(0)} u^{(1)}, \dots, e^{zL_r(1)}(-1)^{L_r(0)+2L_r(0)^2} z^{-2L_r(0)} u^{(r)}), z^{-1}) \\ \cdot (v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}), (w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}))_V \\ = \sum_{i=1}^r (Y_i(e^{zL_i(1)}(-1)^{L_i(0)+2L_i(0)^2} z^{-2L_i(0)} u^{(i)}, z^{-1}) v^{(i)}, w^{(i)})_i \\ = \sum_{i=1}^r (v^{(i)}, Y_i(\phi_i(u^{(i)}), z) w^{(i)})_i \\ = ((v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}), Y((\phi_1(u^{(1)}), \dots, \phi_r(u^{(r)})), z)(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}))_V \\ = ((v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(r)}), Y(\phi((u^{(1)}, \dots, u^{(r)})), z)(w^{(1)}, \dots, w^{(r)}))_V, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

as desired. $\square$

3.2. Structures of unitary vertex operator superalgebras. Recall from [DH] that a vertex operator superalgebra V is called a *strong CFT type* vertex operator superalgebra if the following conditions hold:

- (1) $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ and $V_n = 0$ if $n < 0$;
- (2) $L(1)V_1 = 0$.

In this subsection, we shall show that any unitary vertex operator superalgebra is a direct sum of some strong CFT type unitary simple vertex operator superalgebras with the same central charge.

Theorem 3.2. *Let V be a unitary vertex operator superalgebra. Then there exist strong CFT type unitary simple vertex operator superalgebras $V^1, \dots, V^k$ such that V is isomorphic to the direct sum of the vertex operator superalgebras $V^1, \dots, V^k$.*

Proof: First, note that V is a unitary module for the Virasoro algebra, it follows immediately that $V_n = 0$ for $n < 0$.

We next prove that if V is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra, then V is a direct sum of some vertex operator superalgebras with the same central charge. Since V is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra, we know that V viewed as a V -module is completely reducible, then there exist irreducible V -submodules $V^1, \dots, V^k$ of V such that $V \cong V^1 \oplus \dots \oplus V^k$. Moreover, we have $(V^i, V^j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Consider the weight one subspaces V_0^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, of V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, respectively. Since V viewed as a V -module is generated by the vacuum vector, we know that each V_0^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is not zero. We now prove that each V_0^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is one-dimensional. Note that for any $u \in V_0^i$, we have

$$(L(-1)u, L(-1)u) = (u, L(1)L(-1)u) = (u, 2L(0)u) = 0,$$

implies $L(-1)u = 0$. Hence, $0 = Y(L(-1)u, z) = \frac{d}{dz}Y(u, z)$, forces that $Y(u, z) = u_{-1}$. This further implies that $Y(v, z)u = e^{L(-1)z}Y(u, -z)v = e^{L(-1)z}u_{-1}v$, for any $v \in V$. As a result, $v_j u = 0$ for any $v \in V$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus, $[v_n, u_{-1}] = \sum_{j \geq 0} (v_j u)_{n-1-j} = 0$. Since V has countable dimension and V^i is an irreducible V -module, we have u_{-1} acting on V^i is equal to $\lambda_u \text{id}_{V^i}$ for some complex number λ_u by the Schur's Lemma (cf. [DY]). As a result, for any $u, v \in V_0^i$, we have $\lambda_v u = v_{-1}u = u_{-1}v = \lambda_u v$, implies that V_0^i is one-dimensional. Let $e^i \in V^i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, be the vectors such that e^i acting on V^i is equal to id_{V^i} . Then we know that (V^i, e^i, Y) , $1 \leq i \leq k$, are vertex superalgebras.

For any $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$, let $V_n^i = V_n^i \cap V$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then we know that $V^i = \bigoplus_{n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} V_n^i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. We further let $\omega^i = L(-2)e^i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Consider the operator $L^i(n) = \omega_{n+1}^i$, we have $L^i(n) = \omega_{n+1}^i = (L(-2)e^i)_{n+1} = (\omega_{-1}e^i)_{n+1} = \omega_{n+1}e_{-1}^i = L(n)e_{-1}^i$. As a result, the operators $L^i(n)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfy the Virasoro algebra relation with central charge $c = c_V$, where c_V denotes the central charge of V . Moreover, $L^i(0)|_{V_n^i} = L(0)e_{-1}^i|_{V_n^i} = L(0)|_{V_n^i} = n \text{id}_{V_n^i}$. Note also that for any $u, v \in V^i$,

$$Y(L^i(-1)u, z)v = Y(L(-1)e_{-1}^i u, z)v = Y(L(-1)u, z)v = \frac{d}{dz}Y(u, z)v.$$

Therefore, (V^i, e^i, ω^i, Y) , $1 \leq i \leq k$, are vertex operator superalgebras. Since for any $v \in V_1$ and $u \in V_0$, we have $(L(1)v, u) = (v, L(-1)u) = 0$, implies $L(1)v = 0$. In particular, for any $v \in V_1^i$, we have $L^i(1)v = L(1)v = 0$. Hence, (V^i, e^i, ω^i, Y) , $1 \leq i \leq k$, are strong CFT type vertex operator superalgebras.

Note that for any $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ such that $i \neq j$, and any $v \in V^i, u \in V^j$, we have $e^{L(-1)z}Y(u, -z)v = Y(v, z)u \in V^i \cap V^j$. Hence, $Y(v, z)u = 0$ for any $v \in V^i, u \in V^j$. This immediately implies that the vacuum vector $\mathbf{1}$ is equal to $e^1 + \cdots + e^k$. Therefore, $\omega = L(-2)\mathbf{1} = L(-2)(e^1 + \cdots + e^k) = \omega^1 + \cdots + \omega^k$. As a result, V viewed as a vertex operator superalgebra is isomorphic to the direct sum of V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We proceed to prove that (V^i, e^i, ω^i, Y) , $1 \leq i \leq k$, are unitary vertex operator superalgebras. Let ϕ be the anti-linear involution associated to the unitary structure. We first prove that $\phi(V^i) = V^i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. Note that for any $u, v \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\phi(u), v) &= (\phi(u)_{-1}\mathbf{1}, v) \\ &= \text{Res}_z z^{-1}(Y(\phi(u), z)\mathbf{1}, v) \\ &= \text{Res}_z z^{-1}(\mathbf{1}, Y(e^{zL(1)}(-1)^{L(0)+2L(0)^2}z^{-2L(0)}u, z^{-1})v). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $(\phi(V^i), V^j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$. Note also that $(V^i, V^j) = 0$ if $i \neq j$, which forces that $\phi(V^i) = V^i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$. As a result, we get anti-linear involutions ϕ_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, of V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, respectively. Now we can show that (V^i, e^i, ω^i, Y) , $1 \leq i \leq k$, are unitary vertex operator superalgebras by the formula (3.1).

Finally, we prove that each V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is a simple vertex operator superalgebra. Otherwise, assume that V^i has a nontrivial ideal I . Note that for any $u \in I, v \in V^i$,

$$(u, v) = \text{Res}_z z^{-1}(u, Y(v, z)e^i) = \text{Res}_z z^{-1}(Y(e^{zL^i(1)}(-1)^{L^i(0)+2L^i(0)^2}z^{-2L^i(0)}\phi(v), z^{-1})u, e^i).$$

Since $(,)$ is positive definite, for any $u \in I$, there exists some $v \in V^i$ such that $(u, v) \neq 0$. This implies that $\text{Res}_z z^{-1}(Y(e^{zL^i(1)}(-1)^{L^i(0)+2L^i(0)^2}z^{-2L^i(0)}\phi(v), z^{-1})u, e^i) \neq 0$. Set $w = \text{Res}_z z^{-1}Y(e^{zL^i(1)}(-1)^{L^i(0)+2L^i(0)^2}z^{-2L^i(0)}\phi(v), z^{-1})u$, it follows from the discussion above that there exist some homogenous vectors $w_1, \dots, w_n$ with different nonzero conformal weights such that $w = \lambda e^i + w_1 + \cdots + w_n$ for some nonzero number λ . We now prove that $e^i \in I$, which is a contradiction. Note that we have

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \lambda e^i + w_1 + \cdots + w_n, \\ L^i(0)w &= (\text{wt}w_1)w_1 + \cdots + (\text{wt}w_n)w_n, \\ &\vdots \\ L^i(0)^n w &= (\text{wt}w_1)^n w_1 + \cdots + (\text{wt}w_n)^n w_n, \end{aligned}$$

and $w, L^i(0)w, \dots, L^i(0)^nw \in I$, it follows immediately from the Vandermonde determinant formula that $e^i \in I$. Hence, each V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is a simple vertex operator superalgebra. The proof is complete. $\square$

Corollary 3.3. *Let (V, ϕ) be a unitary simple vertex operator superalgebra. Then the Hermitian form $(,)$ is uniquely determined by the value $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$.*

Proof: Since the unitary vertex operator superalgebra V is simple, it follows from the argument in the proof of Theorem 3.2 that V is of CFT type. As a result, the Hermitian form is uniquely determined by the value $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})$. $\square$

In the following, for any unitary simple vertex operator superalgebra V , we will normalize the Hermitian form $(,)$ on V such that $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = 1$.

4. CLASSIFICATION OF UNITARY VERTEX OPERATOR SUPERALGEBRAS GENERATED BY THE SUBSPACES WITH SMALL CONFORMAL WEIGHTS

4.1. Classification of unitary vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$. In the last section, we have shown that the conformal weights of any unitary vertex operator superalgebra are nonnegative. Then it is natural to consider first the classification of unitary vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$. In subsection 2.3, we have proved that the vertex operator superalgebras associated to the Heisenberg superalgebras have unitary vertex operator superalgebra structures. Moreover, these vertex operator superalgebras are generated by the subspaces with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$. In this subsection, we shall show that any unitary vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$ is a direct sum of vertex operator superalgebras associated to some Heisenberg superalgebras. First, we recall from [X] a characterization of the vertex operator superalgebras associated to the Heisenberg superalgebras.

Theorem 4.1. *Let V be a simple vertex operator superalgebra generated by the subspace $V_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then $V_{\frac{1}{2}}$ has a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ such that V is isomorphic to the vertex operator superalgebra associated to the Heisenberg superalgebra $\widehat{V}_{\frac{1}{2}}$.*

As a consequence, we have the following classification of unitary vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let V be a unitary vertex operator superalgebra generated by the subspace $V_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then V is isomorphic to a direct sum of vertex operator superalgebras associated to some Heisenberg superalgebras.*

Proof: Since V is a unitary vertex operator superalgebra, by Theorem 3.2, we know that there exists unitary simple vertex operator superalgebras $V^1, \dots, V^k$ such that V is the direct sum of V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. By assumption, V is generated by the subspace $V_{\frac{1}{2}}$ with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$, it follows that each V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is generated by the subspace with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, we know that each V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, is isomorphic to vertex operator superalgebra associated to some Heisenberg superalgebra. The proof is complete. $\square$

4.2. The structures of the weight one subspaces of unitary vertex operator algebras. In the last subsection, we have classified the unitary vertex operator superalgebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight $\frac{1}{2}$. Our next goal is to classify the unitary vertex operator algebras generated by the subspaces with conformal weight 1. In this subsection, we shall study first the structures of the weight one subspaces of unitary vertex operator algebras. Let V be a unitary vertex operator algebra such that $V_1 \neq 0$. Note that for $u, v \in V_1$, we have $u_1v \in V_0$, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that $L(-1)u_1v = 0$. As a result, we know that V_1 has a Lie algebra structure with the Lie bracket defined by $[u, v] = u_0v$ for any $u, v \in V_1$ (cf. [B]). We next prove that V_1 is a reductive Lie algebra, that is, V_1 viewed as a V_1 -module is completely reducible (cf. [H]).

Theorem 4.3. *Let V be a unitary vertex operator algebra. Then $(V_1, [,])$ is a reductive Lie algebra.*

Proof: Let $(,)$ be the positive definite Hermitian form on V , then it follows from Theorem 3.2 that $(L(1)v, u) = (v, L(-1)u) = 0$ for any $v \in V_1$ and $u \in V_0$, which implies $L(1)v = 0$. Thus, for any $u, v, w \in V_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 ([u, v], w) &= (u_0v, w) \\
 &= \text{Res}_z(Y(u, z)v, w) \\
 &= \text{Res}_z(v, Y(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}\phi(u), z^{-1})w) \\
 &= \text{Res}_z(v, Y((-z^{-2})\phi(u), z^{-1})w) \\
 &= -(v, [\phi(u), w]).
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Therefore, for any submodule I of V_1 , the orthogonal complement $I^\perp$ of I , which is defined by $I^\perp = \{u \in V_1 | (u, v) = 0 \text{ for any } v \in I\}$, is also a submodule of V_1 . This implies that V_1 viewed as a V_1 -module is completely reducible. This completes the proof. $\square$

Remark 4.4. *The similar result in Theorem 4.3 has been established in [DM1] for the vertex operator algebra V satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) V is simple, rational and C_2 -cofinite;
- (2) V is of strong CFT type.

4.3. A characterization of the unitary vertex operator algebra associated to the affine Lie algebra. We now assume that V is a unitary simple vertex operator algebra such that V_1 contains a simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In the following, we shall study the structure of the vertex subalgebra U generated by $\mathfrak{g}$.

By assumption, we know that V is a self-dual, strong CFT, simple vertex operator algebra. Then there is a unique nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ on V such that $\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = -1$ (see [L1]). Moreover, the nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ is symmetric (see [FHL]). Note that we have defined in Proposition 2.3 a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ on V such that $\langle u, v \rangle = -(u, \phi(v))$ for any $u, v \in V$ and that $\langle \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1} \rangle = -(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = -1$. Therefore, the nondegenerate invariant bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ defined by $\langle u, v \rangle = -(u, \phi(v))$ is symmetric.

By restricting the bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ to V_1 , we then get a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear on V_1 . We proceed to prove $\langle, \rangle$ is an invariant form, that is, $\langle [u, v], w \rangle = \langle u, [v, w] \rangle$ for any $u, v, w \in V_1$. By the formula (4.1), we have $\langle [u, v], w \rangle = -([u, v], \phi(w)) = -(u, [\phi(v), \phi(w)]) = -(u, \phi([v, w])) = \langle u, [v, w] \rangle$. Hence, $\langle, \rangle$ is a nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form on V_1 . Since V_1 is reductive, the restriction of $\langle, \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is still nondegenerate. This implies that there exists a nonzero complex number c such that $\langle, \rangle|_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}} = c\kappa(,)$, where $\kappa(,)$ denotes the normalized Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $\kappa(\theta, \theta) = 2$ for the highest root θ of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Note also that for any $u, v \in V_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v) &= (u, v_{-1}\mathbf{1}) = \text{Res}_z z^{-1}(u, Y(v, z)\mathbf{1}) \\ &= \text{Res}_z z^{-1}(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}\phi(v), z^{-1})u, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \text{Res}_z -z^{-3}(Y(\phi(v), z^{-1})u, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= -(\phi(v)_1 u, \mathbf{1}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $u_1 v = -(v, \phi(u))\mathbf{1} = \langle v, u \rangle \mathbf{1} = \langle u, v \rangle \mathbf{1}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [u_m, v_n] &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{m}{i} (u_i v)_{m+n-i} \\ &= (u_0 v)_{m+n} + m(u_1 v)_{m+n-1} \\ &= [u, v]_{m+n} + m\langle u, v \rangle \delta_{m+n, 0} \text{id}. \end{aligned}$$

Since U is generated by $\mathfrak{g}$, we have in fact proved that U is a highest weight module for the affine Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight vector $\mathbf{1}$ and level c . In particular, U viewed as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is isomorphic to some quotient module of the Verma module $M_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(c, 0)$. Furthermore, we have the following

Theorem 4.5. *Let U be the vertex subalgebra defined above. Then U viewed as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is irreducible, i.e., U is isomorphic to $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}}(c, 0)$ for some complex number c .*

Proof: By assumption, V has a positive definite Hermitian form such that

$$(Y(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})^{L(0)}a, z^{-1})u, v) = (u, Y(\phi(a), z)v),$$

holds for any $a, u, v \in V$. In particular, we have for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$, $u, v \in U$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(a_n u, v) = -(u, \phi(a)_{-n} v).$$

Thus we can prove that the radical of $(,)$ is a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module and that the radical of $(,)$ contains the maximal proper submodule which has zero intersection with highest weight subspace. Since the radical of $(,)$ is equal to zero, we get that the maximal proper submodule which has zero intersection with highest weight subspace is zero. Therefore, U is irreducible. $\square$

We proceed to prove that U viewed as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is an integrable module, that is, c is a positive integer (cf. [K1]).

Theorem 4.6. *Let U be the vertex subalgebra defined above. Then U viewed as a $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is integrable.*

Proof: Let ϕ be the anti-linear involution of V associated to the unitary structure. We first prove that $\phi(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$. Note that we have proved in Theorem 4.3 that V_1 is reductive and then $V_1 \cong \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are simple Lie subalgebras of V_1 and $\mathfrak{h}$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra of V_1 . Moreover, we have $\mathfrak{g}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to $(,)$. On the other hand, we have proved that the bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ defined by $\langle u, v \rangle = -(u, \phi(v))$ is a nondegenerate invariant symmetric bilinear form. Since $\mathfrak{g}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are simple Lie algebras, it follows that $\mathfrak{g}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $\mathfrak{h}$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to $\langle, \rangle$. As a result, $\phi(\mathfrak{g}_i) = \mathfrak{g}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $\phi(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathfrak{h}$.

Note also that $\phi([u, v]) = \phi(u_0 v) = \phi(u)_0 \phi(v) = [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$ for any $u, v \in V_1$. Thus, ϕ induces an anti-linear involution of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is still denoted by ϕ . Hence, ϕ is a real structure of $\mathfrak{g}$ (cf. [O]). Consider the real form $\mathfrak{g}^\phi = \{v \in \mathfrak{g} | \phi(v) = v\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to ϕ . We then prove that $\mathfrak{g}^\phi$ is a compact Lie algebra, that is, there exists a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}^\phi$ (cf. [O]). Note that we have proved

that the bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ defined by $\langle u, v \rangle = (u, \phi(v))$, for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{g}$, is a symmetric invariant bilinear form, we then get a positive definite symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}^\phi$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{g}^\phi$ is a compact Lie algebra and ϕ is a compact real structure of $\mathfrak{g}$. Since the compact real structure of a simple Lie algebra is unique up to conjugacy by inner automorphism, we can choose a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_1$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that ϕ is determined by $\phi(e_i) = -f_i, \phi(f_i) = -e_i, \phi(h) = -h, h \in \mathfrak{h}_1$, where e_i, f_i denote the Chevalley generators of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}_1$ (cf. [O]).

We then consider the compact involution $\hat{\phi}$ of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, which is the anti-linear map $\hat{\phi} : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ determined by $\hat{\phi}(u \otimes t^n) = \phi(u) \otimes t^{-n}, u \in \mathfrak{g}$, and $\hat{\phi}(C) = -C$ (cf. [K1]). Note that with respect to $\hat{\phi}$ and the positive definite Hermitian form $(\cdot, \cdot)$, the $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module U is a unitarizable module, i.e., $(x \cdot u, v) = -(u, \hat{\phi}(x) \cdot v)$ for any $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}, u, v \in U$ (cf. [K1]). As a result, U viewed as $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ -module is integrable. The proof is complete. $\square$

We now in a position to give a characterization of the unitary vertex operator algebra associated to the affine Lie algebra.

Theorem 4.7. *Let V be a unitary vertex operator algebra such that V_1 is a simple Lie algebra and that V is generated by V_1 . Then V is isomorphic to the affine vertex operator algebra $L_{\widehat{V}_1}(c, 0)$.*

Proof: We first prove that V is a simple vertex operator algebra. Since V is a unitary vertex operator algebra, by Theorem 3.2, we know that there exist unitary simple vertex operator algebras $V^1, \dots, V^k$ such that V is the direct sum of $V^i, 1 \leq i \leq k$. However, by assumptions, V is generated by the subspace V_1 and V_1 is a simple Lie algebra, it follows that V is isomorphic to some $V^j, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2, we know that V is a simple vertex operator algebra.

We now only need to prove that the conformal vector ω of V is equal to that of $L_{\widehat{V}_1}(c, 0)$. Consider the component operators $L(n) = \omega_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have: For any $x \in V_1, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\begin{aligned} [L(n), x_m] &= [\omega_{n+1}, x_m] \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \binom{n+1}{i} (\omega_i x)_{m+n+1-i} \\ &= (\omega_0 x)_{m+n+1} + (n+1)(\omega_1 x)_{m+n} \\ &= -m x_{m+n}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, let $x_1, \dots, x_d$ be an orthonormal basis of V_1 with respect to $\kappa(\cdot, \cdot)$, since c is a positive integer, we can define $\omega' = \frac{1}{2(c+h)} \sum_{i=1}^d x_i (-1)^2 \mathbf{1}$, where h denotes the dual Coxeter number of V_1 . It is known that the component operators $L'(n) = \omega'_{n+1}$,

$n \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfy $[L'(n), x_m] = -mx_{m+n}$ for any $x \in V_1$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see [LL]). As a consequence, we have $\omega = \omega'$ by Theorem 6.3 in [Li]. The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 4.8. *The structure of the vertex operator algebra V satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) V is simple and C_2 -cofinite,
- (2) V is of strong CFT type,
- (3) V_1 contains a simple Lie subalgebra,

has been studied in [DM2] and the similar results in Theorems 4.5, 4.6, 4.7 have been established for the vertex operator algebra V satisfying these conditions.

4.4. Classification of unitary vertex operator algebras generated by the weight one subspaces. In the last subsection, we have studied the structure of the unitary simple vertex operator algebra V under the condition that V_1 contains a simple Lie subalgebra. To classify unitary vertex operator algebras generated by the weight one subspaces, it remains to study the structure of the unitary simple vertex operator algebra V under the condition that V_1 contains an abelian Lie algebra. In this subsection, we shall study this case and then classify unitary vertex operator algebras generated by the weight one subspaces.

Let V be a unitary simple vertex operator algebra such that $V_1 \cong \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k \oplus \mathfrak{h}$, where $\mathfrak{g}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are simple Lie subalgebras of V_1 and $\mathfrak{h}$ is an abelian Lie subalgebra of V_1 . Our goal is to study the structure of the vertex subalgebra U generated by $\mathfrak{h}$. Note that we have proved in Theorem 4.6 that there is a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form $\langle, \rangle$ on $\mathfrak{h}$ defined by $\langle u, v \rangle = -(u, \phi(v))$ for any $u, v \in \mathfrak{h}$. Then we can consider the Heisenberg algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}} = \mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$ with the commutation relations: For any $u, v \in \mathfrak{h}$,

$$[u(m), v(n)] = m\langle u, v \rangle \delta_{m+n,0} K,$$

$$[K, x] = 0, \text{ for any } x \in \widehat{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

Since U is generated by $\mathfrak{h}$, we can prove that U is a highest weight module for the Heisenberg Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ with highest weight vector $\mathbf{1}$ and level 1. In particular, U viewed as an $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ module is isomorphic to the irreducible highest weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$ -module $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ (cf. [FLM], [LL]). Moreover, we have

Theorem 4.9. *Let V be a unitary simple vertex operator algebra such that V is generated by V_1 and that V_1 is an abelian algebra. Then the vertex operator algebra V is isomorphic to $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$, where we use $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$ to denote the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra associated to a Heisenberg algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}$.*

Proof: It is good enough to prove that the conformal vector ω of V coincides with that of $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}}(1, 0)$. First, note that we have proved in Theorem 4.7 that $[L(n), x_m] = -mx_{m+n}$ holds for any $x \in V_1$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $L(n) = \omega_{n+1}$. On the other hand, let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ be an orthonormal basis of V_1 with respect to $\langle, \rangle$ and consider $\omega' = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i^2 (-1) \mathbf{1}$. It is known that $[L'(n), x_m] = -mx_{m+n}$ holds for any $x \in V_1$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $L'(n) = \omega'_{n+1}$ (cf. [LL]). As a consequence, we have $\omega = \omega'$ by Theorem 6.3 in [Li]. The proof is complete. $\square$

We now in a position to classify the unitary vertex operator algebras generated by the weight one subspaces. Let V be a unitary vertex operator algebra such that V is generated by V_1 . By Theorem 3.2, we know that V is a direct sum of unitary simple vertex operator algebras $V^1, \dots, V^k$. Since V is generated by V_1 , we know that the weight one subspaces V_1^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, of V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, respectively, are nonzero and that each V^i is generated by V_1^i . As a consequence, we know that V_1^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, are reductive Lie algebras. Assume that V_1^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}_1^i \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{l_i}^i \oplus \mathfrak{h}^i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, respectively, where $\mathfrak{g}_j^i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq l_i$, are simple Lie algebras and $\mathfrak{h}^i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, are abelian Lie algebras. Then we have

Theorem 4.10. *The unitary vertex operator algebra V is isomorphic to*

$$L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_1^1}}(c_1^1, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{l_1}^1}}(c_{l_1}^1, 0) \otimes V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}^1}}(1, 0) \oplus \dots \oplus L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_1^k}}(c_1^k, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{l_k}^k}}(c_{l_k}^k, 0) \otimes V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}^k}}(1, 0)$$

for some positive integers c_j^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq l_i$.

Proof: We now only need to show that the conformal vectors of V^i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, coincide with those of $L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_1^i}}(c_1^i, 0) \otimes \dots \otimes L_{\widehat{\mathfrak{g}_{l_i}^i}}(c_{l_i}^i, 0) \otimes V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}^i}}(1, 0)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, respectively. This follows immediately by the same arguments in the proofs of Theorems 4.7, 4.9. The proof is complete. $\square$

Remark 4.11. *The similar results in Theorems 4.9, 4.10 have been established in [DM2] for the vertex operator algebra V satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) V is simple and C_2 -cofinite;
- (2) V is of strong CFT type.

4.5. A characterization of the lattice vertex operator algebra. In this subsection, we use the results obtained in the last subsection to give a characterization of the lattice vertex operator algebra. We will use the notations in the last subsection.

Theorem 4.12. *Let V be a unitary simple vertex operator algebra such that V_1 is an abelian Lie algebra and $\dim V_1 = c$, where c denotes the central charge of V . Then there*

exist an abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}_1$ of V_1 and a positive definite even lattice L in V_1 such that V is isomorphic to $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_1}(1, 0) \otimes V_L$.

Proof: By the discussion above, we know that V is a module for the Heisenberg algebra $\widehat{V}_1$. Thus, by the Stone-Von Neumann Theorem we know that V viewed as a $\widehat{V}_1$ -module has the decomposition $V = V_{\widehat{V}_1}(1, 0) \otimes \Omega_V$, where $V_{\widehat{V}_1}(1, 0)$ is the highest weight $\widehat{V}_1$ -module with highest weight $(1, 0)$ and $\Omega_V = \{v \in V | x(m)v = 0, \text{ for any } x \in V_1, m > 0\}$.

We next show that $x(0)$ acts semisimply on V for any $x \in V_1$. Note that the action on V_n is closed for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so it is good enough to prove that $x(0)$ acts semisimply on V_n for any $x \in V_1$. Let $(\cdot, \cdot)$ be the positive definite Hermitian form on V and ϕ be the anti-linear involution of V associated to the unitary structure. Then we have $(x(0)u, v) = (u, -\phi(x)(0)v)$ for any $u, v \in V_n$. Since ϕ is an anti-linear involution, by viewing V_1 as a vector space $V_{1, \mathbb{R}}$ over the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers, we can view ϕ as a linear involution of $V_{1, \mathbb{R}}$. Thus, $V_{1, \mathbb{R}}$ has a decomposition $V_{1, \mathbb{R}} = V_{1, \mathbb{R}}^+ \oplus V_{1, \mathbb{R}}^-$, where $V_{1, \mathbb{R}}^+ = \{v \in V_{1, \mathbb{R}} | \phi(v) = v\}$ and $V_{1, \mathbb{R}}^- = \{v \in V_{1, \mathbb{R}} | \phi(v) = -v\}$. We first assume that x is an element in $V_{1, \mathbb{R}}^-$, then we have $(x(0)u, v) = (u, x(0)v)$ for any $u, v \in V_n$. It follows that $x(0)$ acts semisimply on V_n . We next assume that $x \in V_{1, \mathbb{R}}^+$, then we have $\phi(ix) = -i\phi(x) = -ix$, that is, $ix \in V_{1, \mathbb{R}}^-$. Therefore, $ix(0)$ acts semisimply on V_n . Thus, for any $x \in V_1$, we have $x(0)$ acts semisimply on V_n .

As a result, for any $x \in V_1$, $x(0)$ acts semisimply on Ω_V . For any $\lambda \in V_1$, let $\Omega_V(\lambda) = \{v \in \Omega_V | x(0)v = \langle x, \lambda \rangle v \text{ for any } x \in V_1\}$, then we have $\Omega_V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in V_1} \Omega_V(\lambda)$. Let $L = \{\lambda \in V_1 | \Omega_V(\lambda) \neq 0\}$. Since V is a unitary simple vertex operator algebra, by a similar argument in the proof of Theorem 2 in [DM1] we can prove that L is an additive group. We proceed to prove that L is a positive definite even lattice. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_c$ be an orthonormal basis of V_1 with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Then we know that $\omega' = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^c \alpha_i^2(-1)\mathbf{1}$ is the conformal vector of the Heisenberg vertex operator algebra $V_{\widehat{V}_1}(1, 0)$. Set $\omega'' = \omega - \omega'$, where ω is the conformal vector of V . We now prove that ω'' is a Virasoro element. Set $L(n) = \omega_{n+1}$ and $L'(n) = \omega'_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have $[L(1), x_{-1}] = x_0$ for any $x \in V_1$. Therefore, $L(1)\omega' = 0$. It follows that ω'' is a Virasoro element with central charge 0 (see [FZ]). Set $L''(n) = \omega''_{n+1}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega'', \omega'') &= (\omega - \omega', \omega - \omega') \\ &= ((L(-2) - L'(-2))\mathbf{1}, (L(-2) - L'(-2))\mathbf{1}) \\ &= (\mathbf{1}, (L(2) - L'(2))(L(-2) - L'(-2))\mathbf{1}) \\ &= (\mathbf{1}, L''(2)L''(-2)\mathbf{1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the Hermitian form is positive definite, $\omega'' = 0$. As a consequence, ω' is equal to the conformal vector of V . This forces that $\frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ for any $\lambda \in L$ (see [DM1]). Hence, L is a positive definite even lattice.

We now set $\mathfrak{h}_2 = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ and $\mathfrak{h}_1 = \{v \in V_1 \mid \langle x, v \rangle = 0 \text{ for any } x \in \mathfrak{h}_2\}$. Then $V_1 = \mathfrak{h}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_2$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathfrak{h}_1 \times \mathfrak{h}_1}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle|_{\mathfrak{h}_2 \times \mathfrak{h}_2}$ are nondegenerate. Thus we can consider the Heisenberg algebras $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_1$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_2$. Moreover, we have $V_{\widehat{V}_1}(1, 0) = V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_1}(1, 0) \otimes V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_2}(1, 0)$. Thus, V viewed as a module for $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_1}(1, 0) \otimes V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_2}(1, 0)$ has the following decomposition:

$$V = \left(V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_1}(1, 0) \otimes V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_2}(1, 0) \right) \otimes \Omega_V = V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_1}(1, 0) \otimes \left(\oplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_2} V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_2}(1, 0) \otimes \Omega_V(\lambda) \right).$$

It follows immediately from Proposition 5.3 of [DM1] that the vertex operator algebra V is isomorphic to $V_{\widehat{\mathfrak{h}}_1}(1, 0) \otimes V_L$. This completes the proof. $\square$

As an immediate consequence, we have the following

Corollary 4.13. *Let V be a unitary simple vertex operator algebra such that V_1 is an abelian Lie algebra and $\dim V_1 = c$, where c denotes the central charge of V . If we assume in addition that V is rational or C_2 -cofinite, then there exists a positive definite even lattice L in V_1 such that V is isomorphic to V_L .*

Remark 4.14. *The similar result in Corollary 4.13 has been established in [DM1], [M] for the vertex operator algebra V satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) V is simple, rational and C_2 -cofinite;
- (2) V is of strong CFT type.

There is also a characterization of vertex algebras associated to even lattices in [LX].

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank Professor Ching Hung Lam for useful comments and valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [B] R. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.
- [Bu] G. Buhl, A spanning set for VOA modules, *J. Algebra* **254** (2002), 125-151.
- [CKL] S. Carpi, Y. Kawahigashi and R. Longo, Structure and classification of superconformal nets, *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **9** (2008), 1069-1121.
- [CKLW] S. Carpi, Y. Kawahigashi, R. Longo and M. Weiner, From vertex operator algebras to conformal nets and back, arXiv: 1503. 01260.
- [DH] C. Dong and J. Han, Some finite properties for vertex operator superalgebras. *Pacific J. Math.* **258** (2012), 269-290.
- [DL] C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators, Progress in Math. Vol. 112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [DLin] C. Dong and X. Lin, Unitary vertex operator algebras, *J. Algebra* **397** (2014), 252-277.

- [DM1] C. Dong and G. Mason, Rational vertex operator algebras and the effective central charge, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **56** (2004), 2989-3008.
- [DM2] C. Dong and G. Mason, Integrability of C_2 -cofinite vertex operator algebra, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **2006** (2006), Article ID 80468, 15 pages.
- [DY] C. Dong and Nina Yu, $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded weak modules and regularity. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **316** (2012), 269-277.
- [FFR] A. Feingold, I. Frenkel and X. Ries, Spinor construction of vertex operator algebras, trivality and E_8^1 , *Contemporary Math.* **121**, 1991.
- [FHL] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.
- [FLM] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, *Pure and Appl. Math.* Vol 134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [FZ] I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.
- [GKO] P. Goddard, A. Kent and D. Olive, Unitary representations of the Virasoro Algebra and super-Virasoro algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **103** (1986), 105-119.
- [Hu] Y. Huang, Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **10** (2008), 103-154.
- [H] J. Humphreys, Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol 9, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [K1] V. Kac, Infinite dimensional Lie algebras, 3er ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [K2] V. Kac, Vertex algebras for beginners, University Lecture Series, Vol. 10, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997.
- [KR] V. Kac and A. Raina, Bombay lectures on the highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras, *Advanced Ser. in Math. Phys.*, Vol. 2, World Scientific, (1987).
- [KT] V. Kac and I. Todorov, Superconformal current algebras and their unitary representations, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **102** (1985), 337-347.
- [KW] V. Kac and M. Wakimoto, Unitary highest weight representations of the Virasoro, Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond algebras, in: *Proceedings, Symposium on Conformal Groups and Structures, Clausthal, 1985*, Lecture Notes in Physics, Vol. 261, 345-371, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin (1986).
- [KWa] V. Kac and W. Wang, Vertex operator superalgebras and their representations, in: *Mathematical Aspects of Conformal and Topological Field Theories and Quantum Groups, Proc. Joint Summer Research Conference, Mount Holyoke, 1992*, ed., by P. Sally Jr., M. Flato, J. Lepowsky, N. Reshetikhin and G. Zuckermann, *Contemporary Math.* **175**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1994, 161-191.
- [LL] J. Lepowsky and H. Li, Introduction to vertex operator algebras and their representations, *Progress in Math.* Vol 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2004.
- [L1] H. Li, Symmetric invariant bilinear forms on vertex operator algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **96** (1994), 279-297.
- [L2] H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **109** (1996), 143-195.

- [LX] H. Li and X. Xu, A characterization of vertex algebras associated to even lattices. *J. Algebra* **173** (1995), 253-270.
- [Li] B. Lian, On the classification of simple vertex operator algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **163** (1994), 307-357.
- [M] G. Mason, Lattice subalgebra of strongly regular vertex operator algebras, Conformal Field Theory, Automorphic Forms and Related Topics (Heidelberg, 2011), 31-53, *Contributions in Mathematical and Computational Sciences* **8**, Springer, 2014.
- [O] A. Onishchik, Lectures on real semisimple Lie algebras and their representations. *ESI Lectures in Mathematics and Physics*. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zurich, 2004.
- [T] H. Tsukada, Vertex operator superalgebras, *Comm. Algebra* **18** (1990), 2249-2274.
- [X] X. Xu, Introduction to vertex operator superalgebras and their modules, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1998.
- [Y] H. Yamauchi, Extended Griess algebras, conformal designs and Matsuo-Norton trace formulae. in: *Symmetries and groups in contemporary physics*, 423-428, Nankai Ser. Pure Appl. Math. Theoret. Phys., **11**, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2013.

CHUNRUI AI, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, ZHENGZHOU UNIVERSITY, HENAN 450001, CHINA

E-mail address: aicr@zzu.edu.cn

XINGJUN LIN, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI 10617, TAIWAN

E-mail address: linxingjun@math.sinica.edu.tw